



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

19

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hazel Sidberry (3) T. Roe

(2) Anthony Coputo (4) R. Teskin
P. Schlegel
A. Jensen

Date of interview 11/22

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: Roden et al. J. Virology 68(11):

1994 and Table labeled Model System

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed: Zhou et al. (1991); Zhou et al. 1990; Pilancski et al.; and
Linet al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants will consider
amending claims to overcome the 112 2nd paragraph. Applicants will consider ^{canceling} ~~new~~ selected
claims. Applicants will provide arguments to address Pilancski et al. and Zhou et al.
references. Applicants will provide arguments and declaration to overcome the
Linet al. rejection. Applicants will provide arguments to overcome the ^{objection and re-} ~~fragments~~ ^{jection}

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

AL
Examiner's Signature